

FEATURE

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

Volume 45, Number 30

November 22, 2021

By Gleb Nechayev, CRE



Photo: Trong Nguyen/Shutterstock.com

U.S. home prices and rents are experiencing unprecedented growth this year. Although there are several reasons for this surge, the key cause is a prolonged housing supply shortage that has hit a record last year and continues to deepen. New residential construction is starting to catch up nationally but is still far from reducing the shortage and, until then, upward pressure on housing costs will likely persist. Considering that housing rents (rent of primary residence and owner's equivalent rent) account for over thirty percent of the consumer price index, the trend is also contributing to rising inflation.

To evaluate opportunities as well as risks stemming from this situation, it helps to understand not only the aggregate housing shortage but how it is distributed across market segments, property sub-types, intended tenure (for sale vs. for rent), and geographic areas. While the data that is available for such an analysis is far from perfect, the Housing Vacancy Survey (HVS) that has been conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau for decades is still the only source that helps shed some light on these questions.

The very notion of a housing shortage may sound surprising when millions of housing units remain vacant. More specifically, the Q3 2021 HVS report shows there are now 15.2 million vacant housing units comprised of 11.7 million year-round and 3.5 million

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Gleb Nechayev, CRE, is the Head of Research and Chief Economist at Berkshire Residential Investments and a member of the firm's Investment Committee. Gleb is a recognized economist specializing in residential real estate markets


with over two decades of industry experience counseling institutional and private clients. Gleb holds a Master in City Planning degree from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and is a member of The Counselors of Real Estate, the Urban Land Institute, the National Multifamily Housing Council, and the National Association of Business Economists.

seasonal units. The year-round category includes 4.7 million homes that are on the market and 7.0 million that are held off the market. Vacant homes that are on the market include 2.7 million for rent, 0.7 million for sale, and 1.2 million rented or sold and awaiting occupancy.

While these are big numbers, they do not mean much unless they are expressed as vacancy rates or percentage shares of the corresponding inventories (see **Figure 1**) and compared to some historical norms, which are usually approximated by long-term averages.

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

Figure 1: Year-Round and Seasonal Vacancy Rates




Sources: Bureau of the Census, Berkshire Research

For the purposes of this analysis, we compare the rates over the last four quarters to the 35-year averages calculated using annual time series over the 1986-2020 period, the longest period of data available by segment, units in structure, and geography. The differences are then multiplied by the current stock figures to be

converted into units. We also consider the composition of year-round vacant inventory, since over half of it is comprised of units that are held off market but are not considered seasonal, which is treated as a separate category (see **Figure 2**).

Figure 2: Vacant Units Held Off Market



Sources: Bureau of the Census, Berkshire Research

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

Figure 3: Housing Shortage by Market Segment

Segment	Vacancy Rate, %		Housing Shortage	
	Last 4 Quarters	35-Year Average	BPS	Units, Mil.
Total	10.9	12.3	-140	-2.1
Year-round housing	8.5	9.3	-80	-1.6
For Rent	6.4	8.2	-180	-0.9
For Sale	0.9	1.8	-90	-0.7
Held Off Market	4.9	4.9	0	0.0
Seasonal housing	2.6	3.0	-40	-0.5


Sources: Bureau of the Census, Berkshire Research

Our analysis suggests that the aggregate housing shortage is now over 2 million units, the highest in over 30 years and likely even longer. Most of the shortage is concentrated in year-round units and is roughly evenly divided between for rent and for sale housing, although rental shortage is clearly more severe on a relative basis given that the current owner-occupied inventory is 83 million units compared to 44 million for renter-occupied inventory (see **Figure 3**).

In terms of housing sub-types, over half of the overall shortage is concentrated in the single-family segment,

while over half of the rental shortage in housing with five or more units in structure (see **Figure 4**). However, on a relative basis rental housing shortages in the multifamily segment are only slightly deeper than single family. Surging institutional investment in single-family rentals is starting to address the need but recent deliveries of new product in this segment are still relatively low at about 50 thousand units completed in 2020 compared to about 350 thousand units for rental apartments.

Figure 4: Housing Shortage by Tenure and Units in Structure



Sources: Bureau of the Census, Berkshire Research

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

Geographically, the shortage is disproportionately concentrated in the South and West regions of the country and is particularly acute in Nevada, Arizona, Oklahoma, Utah, North Carolina, Florida, and Georgia, where overall vacancy rates for year-round housing are more than 200 basis points below their long-term averages (see **Figure 5**). On the opposite side of the spectrum is New York—one of the most populous states where housing markets appear to be relatively balanced now and is even potentially facing a slight oversupply in the rental segment. We also find that changes in vacancy rates over the past decade along with migration trends can further explain variations in home price appreciation and rent growth across the nation. Nevada makes a particularly instructive case study of these effects—it is a state where growth in home prices and rents have only accelerated through the pandemic despite record job losses due to the economic shutdown and restricted travel/tourism last year. Given the current levels of new construction in states like Nevada, upward pressure on prices and rents is likely to persist in the near term.

There is another source of supply however that could help alleviate the housing shortage—existing homes that are vacant but held off market. This segment has always been and remains somewhat of a wild card as it tends to be dominated by homes purchased for investment purposes, as a non-primary residence, and for occasional use. Nationally, vacant homes held off market currently account for 4.9% of the year-round inventory and this rate stands right at the long-term average. This said, such homes now account for almost 60% of all year-round vacant homes compared to about 50% historically and in absolute terms this excess shadow supply is close to a million units.

In the past, the share of vacant homes held off market tended to fluctuate with economic and housing cycles. It was at its highest in 1969-70, 1980-82, 1990-91, and 2000-01, years immediately preceding or accompanying recessions. The share was at its lowest during the recession of 1973-75 and the Great Recession, both periods being characterized by sharp, abrupt job losses and a high degree of financial distress, forcing many households to sell in an adverse market. If the

U.S. housing market were to suffer a correction (and aggregate oversupply would not be a contributing factor like the last time), we could see the share drop once again, thus helping balance the market. Coincidentally, three key states currently experiencing acute housing shortages, Arizona, Florida, and Nevada, also traditionally happen to have the highest concentrations of homes that are held off market, which contributed to their home price and rent declines in the previous cycle.¹

It does not appear that the U.S. housing shortage can dissipate quickly. The current annual pace of new residential supply is about 1.6 million units per year based on construction starts, which is close to the estimated demand from new households of 1.1 to 1.3 million-plus obsolescence/demolitions of 0.2 to 0.4 million. Unless there is a sudden contraction in household growth and/or an influx of held-off-market homes, from a purely demand/supply perspective (i.e., keeping other factors such as wages/incomes and interest rates constant), the upward pressure on housing costs is likely to persist.

Aside from potential macroeconomic and policy implications of the current housing shortage, real estate investors and developers should also consider opportunities as well as risks created by this environment. First, the market clearly needs substantially more new housing for both renter and owner occupancy and in both single-family and multifamily segments. Our prior analysis based on other data sources strongly suggests that virtually all this new supply is needed at the middle and lower ranges of the price spectrum.² Second, the shortage is concentrated in certain parts of the country and unevenly distributed not only across but also within regions and states, varying widely by market, submarket, and product. In fact, many locations and niches are facing supply surpluses – despite the aggregate shortage. These differences need to be evaluated carefully in the context of shifting demographics, including age, household size, income distribution, migration patterns, etc. Finally, while it is always a good idea to look at one's growth expectations in inflation-adjusted terms, this is becoming especially important now.

REAL ESTATE ISSUES

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

Figure 5: Housing Shortage by Tenure and State

State	For Sale		For Rent		Total		
	Basis Points	Units, Ths	Basis Points	Units, Ths	Basis Points	Units, Ths	
United States	-90	-732	-180	-849	-120	-1,581	
Nevada	-180	-12	-580	-32	-360	-44	
Arizona	-160	-28	-450	-45	-260	-73	
Oklahoma	-130	-13	-490	-28	-260	-41	
Utah	-120	-9	-520	-17	-240	-26	
North Carolina	-110	-31	-430	-66	-230	-96	Top 5
Delaware	-100	-3	-480	-6	-220	-8	
Florida	-140	-75	-330	-98	-210	-172	
Georgia	-110	-28	-390	-59	-210	-86	
Arkansas	-110	-8	-300	-13	-180	-22	
Rhode Island	-40	-1	-370	-6	-170	-7	
Virginia	-120	-26	-270	-31	-170	-58	Top 10
Colorado	-80	-12	-310	-25	-160	-38	
Maryland	-110	-16	-260	-21	-160	-37	
Michigan	-70	-21	-360	-44	-160	-65	
New Hampshire	-90	-4	-310	-5	-160	-9	
New Mexico	-100	-6	-270	-7	-160	-13	
South Carolina	-110	-16	-260	-17	-160	-33	
Texas	-110	-69	-230	-96	-160	-165	
Ohio	-110	-35	-220	-37	-150	-72	
West Virginia	-100	-5	-290	-6	-150	-11	
Idaho	-120	-6	-190	-4	-140	-10	
Alaska	-70	-1	-220	-2	-130	-3	
Connecticut	-70	-7	-230	-12	-130	-19	
Kentucky	-50	-6	-270	-17	-130	-24	
Missouri	-120	-21	-140	-12	-130	-33	
Montana	-90	-3	-220	-3	-130	-6	
Nebraska	-90	-4	-200	-6	-130	-10	
Mississippi	-10	-1	-330	-14	-120	-14	
New Jersey	-60	-13	-220	-28	-120	-41	
Vermont	-80	-1	-230	-2	-120	-3	
Wisconsin	-80	-13	-190	-16	-120	-29	
Louisiana	-40	-4	-240	-16	-110	-20	
Maine	-70	-3	-200	-3	-110	-6	
Oregon	-110	-11	-110	-7	-110	-18	
Tennessee	-90	-17	-110	-11	-100	-28	
Alabama	-120	-16	-30	-2	-90	-18	
California	-80	-56	-90	-59	-80	-114	
Pennsylvania	-70	-26	-100	-17	-80	-43	
Washington	-60	-11	-120	-13	-80	-24	
Massachusetts	-60	-10	-60	-6	-60	-16	
Illinois	-50	-17	-50	-9	-50	-26	Bottom 10
Minnesota	-70	-12	0	0	-50	-12	
Wyoming	-80	-1	10	0	-50	-1	
Indiana	-90	-17	70	6	-40	-11	
Iowa	-60	-6	70	3	-20	-3	
Kansas	-90	-7	100	4	-20	-3	
Hawaii	-30	-1	70	1	10	0	Bottom 5
South Dakota	-40	-1	100	1	10	0	
District of Columbia	-110	-1	120	2	30	1	
New York	-50	-22	120	45	30	23	
North Dakota	-30	-1	470	7	170	6	

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Berkshire Research

Where is the U.S. Housing Shortage?

While most baseline scenarios see the recent upward pressures on consumer prices as transitory, the upward pressure on housing costs could keep overall inflation well above 2% which markets have grown accustomed to, and the Federal Reserve considers its target. It helps to remember that “housing *is* the business cycle,” or at least one of its key components and in this case one that requires a lot more of the right kind of capital investment to keep the overall system functioning well.³ •

This material is for informational purposes only and is not intended to, and does not constitute financial advice, investment management services, an offer of financial products or to enter into any contract or investment agreement.

ENDNOTES

1. Wheaton, William C. and Nechayev, Gleb, "The 1998-2005 Housing 'Bubble' and the Current 'Correction': What's Different this Time?". *Journal of Real Estate Research*, Vol. 30, No. 1, 2008, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1071044>
2. “Growing Opportunity to Invest in Middle-Income Multifamily” Berkshire Research Viewpoint, Fall 2018.
3. Leamer, Edward. “Housing IS the Business Cycle”, Proceedings - Economic Policy Symposium - Jackson Hole, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, 2007. Available at NBER: <https://www.nber.org/papers/w13428>



THE COUNSELORS
OF REAL ESTATE®

www.cre.org

This article/submission represents the opinions of the authors/contributors and not necessarily those of The Counselors of Real Estate® or its members. The Counselors assumes no responsibility for the opinions expressed/citations and facts used by the contributors to this publication regardless of whether the articles/submissions are signed.

Published by The Counselors of Real Estate, a not-for-profit organization, 430 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL, 60611. Copyright 2021 by The Counselors of Real Estate. All rights reserved. (Published online at cre.org/rei).

Real Estate Issues is a registered trademark of The Counselors of Real Estate, a not-for-profit organization.

The Counselors of Real Estate®, established in 1953, is an international group of high-profile professionals including members of prominent real estate, financial, legal and accounting firms as well as leaders of government and academia who provide expert, objective advice on complex real property situations and land-related matters. Membership is selective, extended by invitation. The organization's CRE® (Counselor of Real Estate) credential is granted to all members in recognition of superior problem solving ability in various areas of real estate counseling.

REAL ESTATE ISSUES®

Published by THE COUNSELORS OF REAL ESTATE®

Since its launch in 1976, *Real Estate Issues* has been the premier forum in which the world's foremost real estate thought leaders present innovative ideas, novel strategies, and intriguing commentary on all matters relating to real property.

Visit www.cre.org/rei to view the digital archive of *Real Estate Issues* articles.

Subscribe at www.cre.org/subscribe to receive digital or print editions of *Real Estate Issues*.

Please submit any comments to the *Real Estate Issues* Executive Editor (or Board) on this article to rei@cre.org.

2021 EDITORIAL BOARD

EXECUTIVE EDITOR AND PUBLISHER

John J. Hentschel, CRE
Hentschel Real Estate Services, LLC
Abingdon, Md., U.S.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Deborah C. Nisson, CRE
Real Estate Consultant
Shady Side, Md., U.S.

CONTENT EDITOR

Cassandra J. Francis, CRE
KARIATID
Chicago, Ill., U.S.

ACADEMIC EDITOR AND LIAISON

Elaine M. Worzala, Ph.D., CRE
The George Washington University
Washington, D.C., U.S.

LIAISON VICE CHAIR

Casey R. Kemper, CRE
K4 Real Estate Group
Brewster, Mass., U.S.

2021 CHAIR OF THE BOARD

Michel Couillard, CRE
Busac Real Estate
Montréal, Que., Canada

MANAGING EDITOR, DESIGN/PRODUCTION

Alyssa Bray
The Counselors of Real Estate

MANAGING EDITOR, CONTENT

Larisa Phillips
The Counselors of Real Estate

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Mary Walker Fleischmann
The Counselors of Real Estate

TOPICAL EDITORS AND REVIEWERS

Melissa Bach, CRE
Cushman & Wakefield
San Francisco, Calif., U.S.

Paul Briggs, CRE
Bentall Kennedy
Boston, Mass., U.S.

Joshua Harris, Ph.D., CRE
Skanska USA Commercial Development
New York, N.Y., U.S.

Andrew Nelson, CRE
Nelson Economics
San Francisco, Calif., U.S.

Roy J. Schneiderman, CRE
Bard Consulting, LLC
San Francisco, Calif., U.S.

Daniel L. Swango, CRE
Swango International
Tucson, Ariz., U.S.

Jason Bergman, Esq., CRE
Benchmark Title Agency, LLC
White Plains, N.Y., U.S.

Mary C. Bujold, CRE
Maxfield Research & Consulting
Golden Valley, Minn., U.S.

Constantine Korologos, CRE
New York University | Leonidas Partners, LLC
New York, N.Y., U.S.

James Nelson, CRE
Avison Young
New York, N.Y., U.S.

Thomas Joseph Shircliff, CRE
Intelligent Buildings LLC
Charlotte, N.C., U.S.

Hans Vrensen, CRE
AEW Europe LLP
London, United Kingdom

Massimo Biasin, Ph.D., CRE
Biasin & Partners
Bolzano, Italy

Tilman Thomas Gates, Esq., CRE
Law Offices of Tilman Thomas Gates PLLC
Charlotte, N.C., U.S.

Daniel Lesser, CRE
LW Hospitality Advisors LLC
New York, N.Y., U.S.

Shane Rayman, CRE
Rayman Beitchman LLP
Toronto, Ont., Canada

Phil Stone, CRE
Bentall Kennedy (Canada) Limited Partnership
Toronto, Ont., Canada